

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ED STATES DEB

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/786,970      | 02/24/2004                         | Joan H. M. Knoll     | 30307-ÇNT1          | 5467             |
|                 | 7590 12/12/2007<br>KLEYPAS, L.L.C. |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                 | TREET, SUITE 401                   |                      | MYERS, CARLA J      |                  |
| KANSAS CIT      | 1, MO 04112                        |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |                                    |                      | 1634                | -                |
|                 |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|                 |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |                                    |                      | 12/12/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/786,970 KNOLL ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Carla Myers 1634 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Carla Myers. (3)Kelly Schniter. (2) Tracey Truitt. Date of Interview: 05 December 2007. Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 9 and 10. Identification of prior art discussed: Kazazian. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the written description rejection and the possibility of limiting the claims to methods wherein the hybridization probe for a target nucleic acid sequence consists of a nucleotide sequence obtained from humans and wherein the repeat sequences are obtained from humans. Also discussed the possibility of amending the claims to delete the reference to known repeat sequences and to refer to repeat sequences present in a public database, to the extent that this limitation is supported by the specification as originally filed. The 102 rejection over Kazazian was also discussed. The examiner pointed to col. 27 and 42 of Kazazian as teaching methods for developing a hybridization probe consisting of a single copy sequence wherein the methods comprise analyzing a nucleotide sequence for the presence of repeat sequences by comparing the nucleotide sequence to repetitive sequences using BLAST and generating single copy probes consisting of non-repetitive DNA.